

Leftist Lexicon Word of the Week

You might not know it yet, but we're totally going to die unless we change our energy sources. Don't believe me? Ask former Vice President Al "I'm as Much of a Scientist as Bill Nye" Gore and current House Minority Leader Nancy "I Make Pennywise Look Like a Teddy Bear" Pelosi, both of whom came out against President Donald Trump's decision to allow more offshore drilling. And we know just how much intellectual firepower they both have...

When asked for specifics on how to get the oil monkey off our country's back, the Left always bring up green energy. Wind, solar, and other energy sources are the future! Oil is the past! We need to embrace the future or else we're **DOOMED, DOOMED I SAY!**

Sorry. I channeled my former Leftist self there for a second.

Let's take a closer look at the green energy phenomenon, shall we?

green energy

What the Left believes it means – energy sources that are cleaner and better for our environment

What it really means – a source of money for Leftists under the illusion of protecting the environment

The self-professed "Party of Science" has a habit of using science to make it easier for them to line their pockets through a steady stream of misinformation, loaded language, and, oh yeah, ginning up more fear than Vincent Price. This toxic concoction has made actual discussion on the scientific merits (or lack thereof) of green energy hard to come by. Let

me start the conversation.

Green energy is great in theory, but sucks in execution.

Your turn, Leftists.

Seriously, green energy has good intentions. When put in the simplest of terms, only the truly mad would want our natural resources to be depleted and our environment destroyed. Yes, my Leftist readers, that includes those allegedly evil corporations because if the environment gets destroyed, it tends to kill people. No people, no customers. Economics 101.

And that's one element that the Left doesn't consider when coming up with its green energy approaches. One of the problems with green energy so far is it's not economical on a large enough scale. Leftists can point to large solar farms and wind farms as evidence to the contrary, but as awesome as they are, they run into a problem: storage. On days when the sun isn't shining or the wind isn't blowing, your need for power doesn't go away. Unless you have a way to store energy from sunny or windy days, you'll be more in the dark than Paris Hilton spelunking.

That's where batteries come into the picture. Granted, battery technology has come a long way in the past decade or so, but it's still a process that requires the use of chemicals that can be damaging to the environment. Hmm...so in order for green energy to be effective, we have to use things that are...not green? Maybe it's me, but I think that defeats the purpose of green energy. But I'm sure it's just me. I mean, who would be dumb enough to support something so ignorant?

Oh, wait...

Until the battery technology catches up to the wishful thinking of the green energy movement, the Left will have to address the reality of the situation. And they do that by utterly ignoring reality. They have a lot invested in green

energy, mainly because Leftists tend to be the ones running green energy companies. But since green energy isn't taking off the way the Left thinks it should, green energy companies often request (and get) federal funds to keep afloat. Then, in order to keep the funds coming in, green energy companies have to keep sucking up to Leftist politicians, which might just involve financial donations, and the cycle continues as long as Leftists keep getting into office and have access to federal funds.

Good thing that never backfires. Say, how is Solyndra doing these days?

As with global climate change, the Left is operating from a position of scientific ignorance with green energy. They keep saying oil is obsolete, but they're wrong. Biofuels, converting coal into oil, and as yet fully untapped oil reserves exist, and until we exhaust those sources, oil will have a place in the energy conversation. That doesn't mean we shouldn't try to find alternatives, but to say green energy is the only source worth exploring is short-sighted. Besides, with people like Al Gore and Nancy Pelosi pushing for green energy, I think it's a safe bet it's not nearly as good as they make it out to be.